PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT L

```
Page 238
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                         EASTERN DIVISION
 3
      LATHIERIAL BOYD,
                      Plaintiff,
 4
 5
                  VS.
                                         No. 13 C 7152
      CITY OF CHICAGO; CHICAGO
 6
      POLICE OFFICER RICHARD ZULEY,
 7
      Star No. 15185; CHICAGO
      POLICE OFFICER LAWRENCE
      THEZAN, Star No. 9419;
 8
      CHICAGO POLICE OFFICER STEVE
      SCHORSCH, Star No. 8955;
 9
      CHICAGO POLICE OFFICER JOHN
10
      MURRAY, Star No. 3175;
      CHICAGO POLICE OFFICER WAYNE
      JOHNSON, Star No. 4266;
11
      UNKNOWN CHICAGO POLICE
      OFFICERS; and RAY KAMINSKI,
12
      as special representative of
      the Estate of former CHICAGO
13
      POLICE OFFICER ANDREW
      SOBOLEWSKI, Star No. 16498,
14
                      Defendants.
15
                  The continued videotaped deposition of
16
      LATHIERIAL BOYD, called for examination, taken
17
18
      pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of
      the United States District Courts pertaining to the
19
      taking of depositions, taken before Lynn A. McCauley,
20
21
      CSR No. 84-003268, RPR, a Certified Shorthand
22
      Reporter of the State of Illinois, at 77 West Wacker
23
      Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, Illinois, on
24
      September 14, 2015, at 9:16 a.m.
```

Page 261

Paragraph 1 where you state, "I explained to Clifford when he was out here on the 10th that the reason I didn't want to admit going to Ricky's house was because I didn't want you or anyone else -- or anybody else to think that maybe I had something to do with this crime and thus not believe my total innocence and I didn't see how it could possibly be proven that I had gone there."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, I remember saying that.
- Q. When you wrote "I didn't see how it could possibly be proven that I had gone there," what did you mean by that?
- A. That I could not have driven a white Jaguar in 1989 there and made any threats because that car didn't exist at that time.

And I thought the fact that

Mr. Herbert Warner and his wife, who was present, the

fact that neither one of those people made a -
called the police, made a police report or anything.

And the reason they didn't I'm sure is because they

knew I had not made any threats. That's what I meant
by that.

Q. Let me turn your attention to Page 3 and

Page 315 1 second. 2 That's as far as it goes. A. 3 Q. Did she give you any further identification as to who the they were? 4 5 No, she would have no -- I mean that would have had to come from him, Mr. Warner to her. 6 7 I don't know. I don't know. That's 8 a good question. 9 Did Arnita tell you whether the 10 detectives asked him to lie about anything? 11 A. She told me that -- I guess they -- they 12 had a lengthy conversation that I -- I remember. 13 After the sailor thing she said she 14 went on to talk to him and told -- explained to him 15 that I did not own a white Jaquar in '89 and I never 16 owned a vanity plate. 17 And his response was, "Well, that's what they told me" -- "well, that's what they told 18 19 me" or "that's what they told me to say" so something to that effect. 20 21 Are you in current contact with Arnita? 0. 22 A. Yes. Where does she live? 23 Q. 24 A. She's in Mississippi.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376